

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/771,151	01/26/2001	Danilo D. Lasic	55325-8169.US00	9729
75	590 07/20/2004		EXAM	INER
ALZA Corporation			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
M10-3	n Road, P.O. Box 7210		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
Mountain View	, CA 94039-7210		1615 DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/771,151	LASIC ET AL.				
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S Kishore, PhD	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 June 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>						
		as NOTE below).				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l will be entered a w or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		/skehr				
		Gollamudi S Kishor Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615	re, PhD			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Instant claims do not recite what the 'selected size intervals' are and how the liposomes are analzyed for the presence or absence of precipitate; as already pointed out in the final office action, the very fact that the references use liposomes of a specific population are used indicates that 'liposomes of selected size intervals' are used and there is nothing in the references which indicates presence of absence of precipitate was not checked. The very fact that the references use the term supersaturated 'solutions' indicacate that there was no precipitate. Since there was no precipitate even the step (iv) (selecting liposomes of a sie that has no entrapped precipitated compound) is also implicit.

Skylu Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner

Group 1600